

ARGUMENTS/REMARKS

Claims 1 through 3, 5 through 9 and 11 through 13 remain pending in the present application. Claims 1, 3 and 7 have been amended. Claims 4 and 10 have been canceled.

Applicants sincerely appreciate the indication of allowable subject matter with respect to claims 1 and 7.

In the Office Action, the Specification was objected to for failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. The proper claim amendments have been made to address the objection. Reconsideration and withdrawal of the objection are respectfully requested.


In the Office Action, claims 1 through 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claims 1 and 7 have been amended to indicate the proper manipulation of the lever and subsequent movement of the guide member and its attachment and detachment to the dust collection receptacle. Claim 3 has been amended to describe the orientation of the groove.

Reconsideration and withdrawal of the under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph rejections are respectfully requested.

Consideration and allowance of application is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

11/2/06
Date



Paul D. Greeley
Attorney for Applicant(s)
Registration No. 31,019
Ohlandt, Greeley, Ruggiero & Perle, L.L.P.
One Landmark Square, 10th Floor
Stamford, CT 06901-2682
(203) 327-4500